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Director’s Message

April 15, 2005

The Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) is proud to announce the publication of the 2005 Supplement to the General Plan Guidelines. The 2005 Supplement (also known as Tribal Consultation Guidelines) provides advisory guidance to cities and counties on the process for consulting with Native American Indian tribes during the adoption or amendment of local general plans or specific plans, in accordance with the statutory requirements of Senate Bill 18 (Chapter 905, Statutes of 2004). At a future date, this 2005 Supplement will be incorporated into the General Plan Guidelines as a new chapter on tribal consultation. It is our hope that this 2005 Supplement will be useful not only to city and county planning staffs for complying with the new statutory mandates, but also to local elected officials, planning consultants, landowners, and tribal members who are involved in the general plan process.

In all of its work, OPR attempts to encourage more collaborative and comprehensive land use planning at the local, regional, and statewide levels. These goals are consistent with the goals of Senate Bill 18, which for the first time in the nation, requires cities and counties to consult with Native American tribes when adopting and amending their general plans or specific plans.

The completion of this 2005 Supplement would not have been possible without the advice and assistance of many organizations and individuals, whose support OPR acknowledges and appreciates. These organizations and individuals include the Native American Heritage Commission and its staff, the members and representatives of numerous California Native American tribes, many city and county governments, state agency representatives, professional associations and academic institutions. We appreciate their assistance in preparing this 2005 Supplement, including participation at several meetings and public workshops.

OPR met the statutory deadline of March 1, 2005, to publish these guidelines by issuing interim guidelines on March 1. In developing the interim guidelines, OPR consulted with a wide range of stakeholders and experts. We consulted with city and county representatives (planners, legislative staff and legal counsels); tribal representatives and associations; staff of the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), including attendance at two NAHC commission meetings; federal agencies with experience in tribal consultation; academic institutions; and professional associations that deal with archaeological and cultural resource protection. In addition, we consulted with numerous tribal liaisons within state government and sought the input of the League of California Cities and the California State Association of Counties.
Based upon this consultation, OPR issued Draft Tribal Consultation Guidelines on February 22, 2005 for public review and comment. OPR conducted a public workshop on February 25, 2005, which was well attended and resulted in a productive discussion of the process envisioned by SB 18, as well as many specific recommendations for improvements to the 2005 Supplement.

In response to requests from many parties for additional time to consult with OPR regarding the 2005 Supplement, OPR continued to reach out to stakeholders for an additional 45 days to ensure that their interests were heard. Between March 1 and April 15, OPR held four meetings throughout the State to receive additional comments. The meetings were held in Klamath, Corning, Sonora, and Temecula. This April 15 edition of the guidelines reflects the comments and concerns expressed at those four meetings, as well as written comments received by OPR.

We hope that you will find this 2005 Supplement to be an informative guide and a useful tool in the practice of local planning. I invite your suggestions on ways to improve OPR's General Plan Guidelines and this 2005 Supplement, as OPR continues to refine and update all of its guidance to city and county planning agencies.

Sean Walsh
Director, OPR